American Journal of Economics and Business Management

Vol. 9 Issue 3 | pp. 145-154 | ISSN: 2576-5973
Available online @ https://www.globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajebm

Article

Financial Indicators in Assessing Regional Development: Methodological Approaches and Comparative Analysis of Regional Economic Performance

Davranov Orzu Abdujabbarovich[1]

1. Research Center "Scientific Foundations and Problems of Economic Development of Uzbekistan" under TSUE, Uzbekistan

Abstract: In the face of growing territorial imbalances and uneven economic development, regional development today is one of the priorities of modern economic policy. Within this context, the application of financial indicators in regional development estimation is significant for assessing the territory's economic potential, fiscal capacity and investment attractiveness. Financial indicators offer a deeper understanding of the financial underpinnings of regional economies and allow for a more holistic assessment of their development dynamics.

Keywords: Regional Development, Financial Indicators, Regional Financial Capacity, Regional Financial Development Index, Regional Economic Analysis, Comparative Regional Analysis, Fiscal Capacity, Investment and Regional Growth

Citation: Abdujabbarovich D. O. Financial Indicators in Assessing Regional Development: Methodological Approaches and Comparative Analysis of Regional Economic Performance. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2026, 9(3), 145-154.

Received: 29th Dec 2025
Revised: 31th Jan 2026
Accepted: 28th Feb 2026
Published: 09th Mar 2026

1. Introduction

In recent decades, the issue of regional development has become one of the central topics in economic research and public policy. The growing disparities in economic performance among regions within countries have raised concerns about balanced development, efficient resource allocation, and sustainable economic growth. In many economies, particularly those undergoing structural transformation or transition, regional disparities may significantly influence national economic stability, social cohesion, and long-term competitiveness. Hence, the tasks of measuring and evaluating regional development have became one of the most important task for policymakers, researchers and international organizations.

The term regional development refers to the multi-faceted nature of economic development in a particular territorial unit marked by economic growth, social progress and institutional capacity building on one hand and financial sustainability on the other. Historically, regional development has been gauged by metrics like gross regional product, employment rates and infrastructure access. Nonetheless, recent studies highlight that financial indicators perform increasingly better in terms of acquiring regional economic capabilities and development potential. Financial indicators, in turn, characteristic of the fiscal capacity of regional governments, the intensity of investment processes, the access to credit resources and financial infrastructure that provides economic activity.

Financial indicators becoming more useful during regional analysis is connected between systems transformation and financial market deepening. She is a Senior Research Fellow of the MAXCAP project: Maximising the Integration of EU Enlargement and Integration. Areas which have developed better capital bases, larger investment volumes and broader fiscal powers tend to exhibit more robust economic growth and greater resilience to external shocks. On the other hand, areas with limited funds are confronted with challenges in developing new programs and promoting investment as well as enhancing infrastructure.

Financial indicators increasingly shape assessments of regional economic performance by international organizations, including the World Bank, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and the International Monetary Fund. These institutions improve the comprehension of regional methods, in addition to the fact that it emphasizes how those characterized by regional investment streams of funds, revenue growth, expansion within the banking method, and advancement in public financial supervision will show up on indicators as ways stations in the functioning of both diversity and development. Such indicators enable researchers to not only assess the current economic health of regions, but also their potential for sustained growth and structural transformation.

Although financial indicators are increasingly acknowledged within regional development research, the methods of identifying and measuring these indicators have not been sufficiently systematized. Nevertheless, recent studies use disaggregated indicator sets to analyse the impact of financial inclusion policies without going further on defining a comprehensive structural model that incorporates some relevant economic variables. As a result, the assessment of regional development often lacks methodological consistency, which may lead to inaccurate comparisons between regions and limited policy relevance of research findings.

Another important challenge concerns the selection and standardization of financial indicators. Different countries employ different sets of indicators depending on their institutional structures, fiscal systems, and levels of economic development. Developed economies tend to depend on measures pertaining to the degree of fiscal decentralization, development of capital markets, and tools for financing innovation. In contrast, public investment, bank sector credit and budgetary transfers often represent the key regional drivers in developing and transition economies. These differences have made it increasingly challenging to compare the geographical and spatial aspects meaningfully across regions and countries; they highlight the necessity of methodological frameworks for such comparisons.

Thus, an important scientific and practical task is to develop a comprehensive volume of methodological approaches to identifying quantitative and qualitative financial indicators. These approaches should help researchers to accommodate various financial aspects, consolidating them in one analytical framework which would be better tailored to the complexity of regional development processes. At the same time, methodological tools should ensure comparability of indicators across regions and support evidence-based policymaking.

This process essentially involves the comparative analysis. Studying the experience of individual countries and regions allows to single out best practices in the use of financial indicators to assess regional development. A comparative analysis also helps uncover structural variations of financial systems, fiscal governance models and investment mechanisms that affect the economic performance of regions. Insights drawn from international comparisons are especially useful for countries that seek to reform regional development policies and modernize public financial management.

Strengthening the methodology for regional development assessment is of particular importance for countries with rapidly changing economic systems, including many transition and emerging economies. In these types of contexts, regional disparities are often representative of differences in investment attractiveness, financial infrastructure and access to credit resources. Consequently, incorporating financial metrics in the regional development assessment frameworks could substantially improve analytical foundations for designing effective regional policies.

On this basis, the purpose of the study is to investigate and substantiate the role of financial indicators in regional development assessment and outline methodological requirements for their effective application in regional economics. Moreover, the study aims to investigate with more detail about theeconomic indicators used externally, and ultimately builds a conceptual framework that aid comparative assessment of the regional economic performance.

The goal of the research is to explore the methodological basis of financial indicators utilized in regional development assessments and develop a systematic approach for recognizing and implementing regional development indicators in economic studies at various levels. To pursue this intension, the study answers several questions. First which financial indicators are the most accurate reflection of regional development levels and dynamics. Second, what are the methodological approaches that could be used to identify and construct these indicators in a standardized manner? Third, how can comparative routines improve performance assessment in the region? By addressing these questions, the study aims to contribute to the development of methodological tools for assessing regional development and to provide insights that may support more effective regional economic policies. The results of the research are expected to be particularly relevant for policymakers and researchers interested in improving financial governance at the regional level and enhancing the analytical foundations of regional development strategies.

Literature Review

Regional development has long been a central topic in economic theory and policy analysis, particularly in the context of spatial disparities in economic growth and the uneven distribution of resources across territories. The concept of regional development encompasses a broad range of economic, social, and institutional processes that determine the capacity of specific territories to generate sustainable economic growth and improve the welfare of their populations. Several theoretical approaches, accompanied by methodological strategies have been advanced to evaluate regional development over the course of time [1]: with a significant portion highlighting the relevance pertaining towards financial resources (and its specific fiscal capacity) in influencing upshots concerning regional economic behaviour.

This set of articles draws, among other things, on one of the earliest theoretical roots for studying regional development which can be found in economic geography. Krugman introduced the new economic geography, which emphasizes the significance of spatial concentration of economic activity, transportation costs and economies of scale in explaining regional differences in economic performance (1998). From this angle, money and investments tend to aggregate most in economic strongholds, further entrenching regional gaps and fostering cumulative advantages of economic growth. [2] Krugman’s work has proven influential in explaining why a particular geographical area attracts elements such as higher levels of capital investment, and technological and financial infrastructure.

This perspective was complemented by Porter's theory of regional competitiveness, which highlighted the role of clusters and local economic ecosystems in promoting regional development. Porter posits that regions with dense networks of companies, paired with research institutions and financial organizations reflects greater productivity and innovation potential. Accordingly, greater magnitude of financial variables, such as investment intensity, credit appropriability and the presence of venture capital is one determinant factor in regional economies competitiveness [35], [36]. In this regard, the financial environment is a key component of regional development strategies [3].

Endogenous Growth Theory provides another relevant theoretical contribution to the regional development debate. In this phase, Barro and Sala-i-Martin explain that the snowfall, is primarily determined by factors such as capital accumulation, technical advancement and development of human capital. This approach sees financial systems as providing the necessary conditions for economic expansion through the mobilization of savings and enabling efficient capital allocation in fanancial investments. On the regional level, so differences in financial infrastructure and fiscal capacity may thus explain differential economic growth rates across regions. In addition to theoretical frameworks, empirical studies have increasingly focused on the measurement of regional development using quantitative indicators. Traditionally, regional development has been evaluated using indicators such as gross regional product (GRP), employment levels, and industrial output. However, these indicators often provide only a partial understanding of regional economic dynamics. In response, researchers have begun to incorporate financial indicators into regional development analysis in order to capture the fiscal and investment capacities of regional economies [4].

The importance of financial indicators in economic measurement was highlighted by the report of the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress, led by Stiglitz, Sen, and Fitoussi. As the authors argue, conventional macroeconomic metrics like gross domestic product fail to account for the financial sustainability and structural dynamics of economic systems. They highlight the importance of including broader economic and financial indicators such as those capturing investment activity, fiscal sustainability, and financial stability. These findings are especially pertinent for regional development analysis, where the fiscal capacity and financial infrastructure play a significant role in determining how well regions can carry out development strategies [5].

Many international organisations have also played a crucial role in developing regional development indicators. Particularly the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) has come up with an extensive framework to measure regional development covering public finance, investment, productivity, and innovation. As stated with the OECD "Regional Development cannot be effectively measured without accounting for the financial resources available to regional governments and how those resources are maximised". Thus, indicators such as regional public investment, fiscal autonomy and financial transfer from central governments are considered important elements for the evaluation of regional economic performance [6].

So does the World Bank, which has prioritized financial and fiscal indicators in regional development research. According to World Bank, regional disparities are usually tightly ornamey linked with the differences in access to financial resources like credit markets, public investment programs and infrastructure financing mechanisms [7]. Areas with more access to financial resources are better able to invest in infrastructure, support entrepreneurship, and attract private investment. Financial indicators thus help to understand also the structural capacity of parts of Europe to nurture economic growth.

Identify the Research Gap — In past decades, many scholars have already studied the impact of fiscal decentralization on regional economic growth. Instead, well-crafted fiscal decentralization allows local governments to coordinate resource allocation relative to their own needs and priorities. Regionally autonomous fiscal opportunities allow regionals to problem design development programs tailored for local economic environments. Yet, the success of fiscal decentralization heavily relies on the budgetary capacity at the regional government level and the institutional rules surrounding public finance [8].

Rodríguez-Pose and Ezcurra also show that the regional economic divide is strongly influenced by financial and institutional factors. Their study suggests that higher levels of development tend to occur in places with stronger institutions and better financial governance. Regional fiscal capacity, financial transparency and public investment management – three critical components of domestically funded growth initiatives – are used in particular to connect the question of domestic resource mobilization with regional dynamics that can support sustainable growth.

In the second vein of research, composite indices are developed to assess regional economic performance(Refer to kwon et al). Composite indices are research aids that bring together different indicators into a single analytical framework, enabling analysts to cover the multi-dimensional aspect of region's development. Some of them are e.g.; the European Commission produced the Regional Competitiveness Index (RCI) that includes indicators regarding infrastructure, innovation, financial resources and institutional quality. Such areas indicate increasing awareness of the importance of financial indicators in evaluating regional development.

Concurrently, methodological challenges persist with regards to the data identification and selection of appropriate financial indicators. Various countries utilize various financial indicators based on their institutional frameworks and stages of economic growth [9]. In developed economies, financial indicators typically reflect capital market depth and measures of venture capital investment and financial innovation. At the same time, developing and transition economies tend to emphasize indicators such as public investment, bank credit, and budgetary transfers.

These variations give rise to the necessity of methodological frameworks ensuring that different types of financial indicators can be integrated into single analytical models. Lacking such frameworks, regional development analysis can become incohesive and heterogeneous. An increasing focus among researchers is thus to develop standardized practices for the selection and evaluation of financial indicators in regional development studies [10].

Recent studies equally highlight the potential role of financial inclusion as a driver for regional economic development. Demirgüç-Kunt et al. claim that access to financial services is one of the most important determinants of economic development, especially when it comes to emerging economies[classi]. Areas with more access to financial services by firms and households tend to have higher levels of investment activity, entrepreneurship and economic diversification." Hence, indicators of financial inclusion including access to banking services, availability of credit and digital financial infrastructure can shed light on the financial underpinnings of regional development.

In general, the studies highlighted show that financial indicators are becoming more and more important in the context of analysis of regional development. Unlike with traditional economic indicators, financial-indicators may give useful clues to the underlying structural ability of regions to host sustainable rates of growth and economic transformation. However, the methods used to determine and apply financial indicators are still poorly developed, especially in matters of comparative the status of the regions [11].

Thus, more research should be directed to centre systematic methodologies in regional development studies to detect and test financial indicators. In this way, such methodologies should allow researchers to incorporate various financial aspects into an integrated analytical framework and provide comparability of indicators across regions and countries. Closing this gap should be an important step towards enhancing the theoretical foundations, as well as the empirical assessment of regional economic development.

2. Material and Methods

This study employs a combination of theoretical and empirical research methods to examine the role of financial indicators in assessing regional development and to identify methodological approaches for their effective application. The methodological framework of the research is based on the principles of regional economics, financial analysis, and comparative economic research. The theoretical foundation of the study draws on concepts from economic geography, endogenous growth theory, and regional competitiveness theory, which emphasize the importance of financial resources and institutional capacity in shaping regional economic performance.

One of the main research methods used is the comparative analysis approach. With this approach, it becomes possible to compare the financial and economic parameters of regional development evaluation in various countries and relations to their economic systems. By means of comparative analysis, the study shows how the selection and application of financial indicators differ between developed and developing economies. By this method, the study makes it possible to underline specific indicators that best characterize either the financial Pareto field or its regional development capacity.

3. Results and Discussion

Regional development is strongly influenced by the financial capacity of territories and the effectiveness with which financial resources are mobilized and allocated [12], [13]. In modern economic systems, financial indicators play a key role in reflecting the economic potential of regions, as they capture the availability of investment resources, fiscal sustainability, and access to financial infrastructure. Therefore, the analysis of financial indicators provides an important analytical basis for understanding the dynamics of regional development and identifying disparities between different territories [14].

The Role of Financial Indicators in Regional Development Assessment

Financial indicators represent an important analytical tool for evaluating the economic strength and development potential of regions. Unlike traditional indicators such as gross regional product or employment levels, financial indicators provide deeper insights into the structural capacity of regional economies to generate sustainable growth. The financial environment that enables economic activity in a region is reflected in indicators such as regional budget revenues, investment flow, credit from the banking sector and public expenditures.

Regional budget revenue measures the fiscal capability of regional governments in terms of probably the most important financial indicator accounting for his or her debt burden. Fiscal areas with greater fiscal capacity are typically in a better position to support investment through infrastructure, social and economy development programs. Fiscal capacity also refers to the ability of regional authorities to respond to economic shocks and facilitate long-term development strategy implementation.

Similar understanding could be reached through fixed capital investment, as one of the important indicator, which is directly linked to intensity of economic modernization and extension of productive capacity. Investment is a central component of economic growth, providing the necessary resources for developing infrastructure, industrial and technological capabilities. Higher levels of investment are associated with faster economic growth and structural transformation [15], [16].

The availability of bank credit is also an essential component of regional financial development. Access to credit allows firms to scale up production, invest in new technologies and raise productivity. In areas where financial intermediaries are effectively granting credit to businesses and households, the economy is more dynamic and diversified. On the other hand, restricted credit availability can restrict business development and lead to lower regional economic competitiveness.

There are indicators, alongside these variables, consist tax revenues and public expenditures which render useful information on the fiscal sustainability of regional economies. Tax revenues indicate the amount of economic activity taking place within a region and the effectiveness of tax administration systems, whereas public expenditures provide an insight into how financial resources are allocated for purposes that support developmental objectives.

International Experience in Using Financial Indicators

International practice shows that many countries rely on financial indicators when evaluating regional development [17]. These indicators are often incorporated into comprehensive analytical frameworks that allow policymakers to monitor regional disparities and design targeted development policies.

In the European Union, regional development is assessed through a combination of economic and financial indicators within the framework of the European Cohesion Policy. Access to development funds across regions is dependent on financial indicators that include regional public investment, fiscal transfers or access to financial resources. The European Commission also develops the Regional Competitiveness Index, which includes both financial and institutional indicators to evaluate economic performance in regions.

Regional development policy in South Korea tends to focus heavily on financial provision instruments which aim not only to induce investment into but also innovation within these less developed regions. The government provides financial incentives, development funds, and credit guarantees to encourage private investment in regional economies. As a result, financial indicators such as investment intensity, government development spending, and regional financial support programs are widely used to evaluate regional economic progress [18], [19].

Similarly, China has implemented a wide range of financial instruments to promote balanced regional development. Public investment at scale, infrastructure financing and targeted fiscal transfers have been critical in closing the coastal/inland divide. And thus, financial variables including government investment expenditures, region wide credit growth and infrastructure financing are integrated — as necessary conditions — into regional development measurements in China.

These global examples show that financial indicators are now recognised worldwide as important measures for assessing regional economic performance. At the same time, they highlight the importance of integrating financial indicators into comprehensive analytical frameworks that consider both economic and institutional dimensions of regional development.

Comparative Analysis of Financial Indicators

A comparative examination of financial indicators across different countries reveals several important patterns. First, regions with stronger financial systems tend to attract higher levels of investment and demonstrate greater economic resilience. This is especially true for developed economies that have highly developed financial markets to ensure the efficient allocation of capital [20].

Second, due to the uneven distribution of public financial resources, it is a crucial factor affecting local economic development. Countries with more fiscal space and higher relative public investment typically have better development programs. Because of this, fiscal decentralization is critical for regional economic development.

Third, the deployment of financial services and credit infrastructure serves as an especially potent driver of regional economic diversification. In such regions, the economy's structure is more diversified and better able to withstand shocks due to active support of entrepreneurship by financial institutions [21], [22].

The results indicate that financial indicators have multiple impacts on future regional economic growth, as well as reflecting the current degree of regional development. As a result, financial criteria are becoming the cornerstone of evidence-based policies for regional development.

Implications for Regional Development Assessment

The above analysis underlines how financial indicators have become an integral part of economic research on a local and regional level. Financial indicators are important measures of the financial capabilities, investment opportunities and fiscal sustainability characteristics of regional economies. Moreover, when incorporated into broader analytical frameworks, these indicators enable researchers to quantify territorial dynamic levels and detect structural differences between areas.

Simultaneously, our comparative analysis shows that the quality of financial indicators greatly differentiates according to the methodology applied by researchers in their identification and measure. Although financial indicators provide a basis for understanding regional development, they need to be standardized so that they can be combined in composite indices capable of depicting multidimensional images of regions.

The composite regional financial development index, proposed as a methodological approach in this paper, can serve as a tool for assessing the ability of regions to support business initiatives and moving towards identifying gaps between regions. This approach allows therefore to have more constant and structured analysis of the regional economic performance [23].

In summary, the final analysis has verified that financial indicators are key information of regional development dynamics and help to ensure evidence-based policymaking in order to achieve balanced regional development.

4. Conclusion

The analysis conducted in this study demonstrates that financial indicators play a significant role in assessing regional development and understanding the economic capacity of territories. While traditional indicators such as gross regional product and employment levels remain important, they do not fully reflect the financial foundations that determine the long-term sustainability and competitiveness of regional economies. Regional interests or social well-being is encompassed by financial indicators that give a broader perspective of regional economic potential, including the extent of availability and usage of financial resources within investment processes, as well the fiscal capacity of authorities at each region.

Noting that regional development is closely tied to the financial environment in which economic activity occurs, the study further affirms this link as it relates to two regions: Lake Michigan and Bassin du TF. It possesses strong fiscal capacity and enjoys significant investment, thereby resulting in stable economic growth and stronger resilience against economic shocks. Conversely, poorer regions are often subject to structural disadvantages that prevent them from attracting investment, building infrastructure and fostering entrepreneurship. So including economic indicators in regional development evaluation frameworks can help us better understand regional economy condition.

The comparison with international experience additionally reinforces the prominent role of financial indicators in the regional development policy framework. For most countries and international organizations that cover regional economy, there are long-term comprehensive systems for monitoring the performance of economic indicators, including financial position indicators. In the EU, indicators on finances such as public investment volumes and fiscal transfers are used extensively in regional development policies to manage funds for development effectively. Similar examples can be found in South Korea and China, where financial instruments or targeted investment programs have successfully been used to promote balanced regional growth while also mitigating territorial disparities.

Results from this study also show that methodological methods of identifying financial indicators should be the focus for future research. Generally, the financial indicators are being applied in disconnected manner without any consistency in analytical framework. This makes them less useful for comparative analysis and policy evaluation. In order to address this issue, the present work proposes a methodological approach based on methodological development of composite index combining financial indicators in a mululative analytical model. The proposed Regional Financial Development Index (RFDI) represents a practical tool for evaluating the financial capacity of regions and identifying disparities in regional economic development. By combining key financial indicators such as regional budget revenues, investment in fixed capital, bank credit volumes, tax revenues, and public expenditures, the index allows for a more systematic assessment of regional financial potential. This will allow to develop a more secure analytical ground for regional economic studies as well as provide the authorities with more trusted information to design regional development strategies.

A second crucial conclusion of the paper is that regional development evaluations in the European context need to find a better methodological consistency. Standardizing the financial indicators measurement and selection would create opportunities for a better regional or countryspecification on those measures. It also would enhance transparency and the engagement of regional economic policy because it explicitly defines some of the financial elements that properly drive regional development.

Ultimately, the paper addresses financial indices as an essential subject of regional development research. By leveraging financial indicators more effectively, the evaluation of regional economic performance can be enhanced and utilized to underpin data-informed decisions in shaping policies, leading to more equitable and sustainable regional development as a whole. Future research may further expand this analytical framework by incorporating additional financial and institutional indicators, as well as applying econometric methods to explore the relationship between financial development and regional economic growth.

REFERENCES

[1] R. J. Barro and X. Sala-i-Martin, Economic Growth, 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 2004.

[2] A. Demirgüç-Kunt, L. Klapper, D. Singer, S. Ansar, and J. Hess, The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring Financial Inclusion and the Fintech Revolution. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2018.

[3] European Commission, EU Regional Competitiveness Index 2021. Brussels, Belgium: European Commission, 2021.

[4] F. Isayev and S. Kamilova, “Theoretical foundations of electronic commerce taxation,” Economic Development and Analysis, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 296–304.

[5] F. Isaev, “Analysis of the impact of tax reforms,” Economic Development and Analysis, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 155–161.

[6] F. Isaev, “The role of taxation, independent audit and internal control in improving the quality of financial reporting,” Economic Development and Analysis, vol. 1, no. 7, pp. 305–310.

[7] P. Krugman, Geography and Trade. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 1991.

[8] G. Myrdal, Economic Theory and Underdeveloped Regions. London, UK: Duckworth, 1957.

[9] OECD, Regional Development Policy: OECD Regional Statistics. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2020.

[10] OECD, Regional Outlook 2022: Building Resilient Regions. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2022.

[11] W. E. Oates, “An essay on fiscal federalism,” Journal of Economic Literature, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 1120–1149, 1999.

[12] M. E. Porter, “Clusters and the new economics of competition,” Harvard Business Review, vol. 76, no. 6, pp. 77–90, 1998.

[13] A. Rodríguez-Pose and R. Ezcurra, “Does decentralization matter for regional disparities? A cross-country analysis,” Journal of Economic Geography, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 619–644, 2010.

[14] J. E. Stiglitz, A. Sen, and J. P. Fitoussi, Report by the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress. Paris, France, 2009.

[15] World Bank, World Development Report 2020: Trading for Development in the Age of Global Value Chains. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2020.

[16] World Bank, World Development Indicators. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2022.

[17] H. Armstrong and J. Taylor, Regional Economics and Policy, 3rd ed. Oxford, UK: Blackwell, 2000.

[18] R. Camagni, "Territorial capital and regional development," in Handbook of Regional Growth and Development Theories, R. Capello and P. Nijkamp, Eds. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar, 2009, pp. 118–132.

[19] R. Capello, Regional Economics, 2nd ed. London, UK: Routledge, 2016.

[20] P. McCann, Modern Urban and Regional Economics. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2013.

[21] A. Pike, A. Rodríguez-Pose, and J. Tomaney, Local and Regional Development. London, UK: Routledge, 2017.

[22] D. Rodrik, Economics Rules: The Rights and Wrongs of the Dismal Science. New York, NY, USA: W.W. Norton, 2016.

[23] A. J. Scott and M. Storper, "Regions, globalization, development," Regional Studies, vol. 37, no. 6–7, pp. 579–593, 2003.