



Article

The Formation and Evolution of Scientific Perspectives on Sustainable Regional Development: Theoretical Foundations and Contemporary Trends

Avazbek Khalbekov¹

1. Independent Researcher, Research Center "Scientific Foundations and Problems of Development of the Economy of Uzbekistan", Tashkent State University of Economics, Expert, Sustainable Development Center

* Correspondence: Avazbek.khalbekov@mail.ru

Abstract: The concept of sustainable development is becoming increasingly important in both academic discourse and public policy. A deeper understanding of its essence, distinctive features, and the conditions necessary for its emergence requires a comprehensive examination of the stages of its evolution. The development of this concept has been shaped by the gradual recognition of economic, social, and environmental challenges that directly affect the quality of life of the world's population. This article systematizes the approaches of domestic and foreign scholars to the evolution of the concept of sustainable development, offers the author's interpretation of its periodization, and reveals the significance of this concept for the elaboration of sustainable development strategies at the enterprise level.

Keywords: Sustainable Development, Evolution, Periodization, Corporate Sustainability, Sustainable Development Strategy

Citation: Khalbekov A. The Formation and Evolution of Scientific Perspectives on Sustainable Regional Development: Theoretical Foundations and Contemporary Trends. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2026, 9(4), 179-185.

Received: 7th Feb 2026

Revised: 22th Feb 2026

Accepted: 28th Mar 2026

Published: 4th April 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

At the present stage of development of the world economy, despite the persistence of a number of adverse factors such as imbalances across various sectors and industries, geopolitical instability, and the growing intensification of tensions between states, the trend of growth in global economic potential continues to be observed.

According to World Bank forecasts, global economic growth is expected to reach 3.3 percent in 2026 and 3.2 percent in 2027. These growth rates are explained by changes in global trade policy, the increasing volume of investment directed toward innovative technologies, fiscal and monetary support measures implemented by governments, as well as relatively favorable financial conditions and the continued activity of the private sector. At the same time, although a downward trend in global inflation is being observed, inflation indicators in certain major economies, including the United States, are expected to return to target levels only gradually. Meanwhile, a number of risks and threats continue to persist in the global economy. In particular, the need to reassess the effectiveness of investments in new technologies, as well as the intensification of geopolitical tensions, are among the factors contributing to greater economic uncertainty.

In this regard, a number of economic policymakers and experts emphasize the need to ensure price stability, maintain financial stability, enhance the resilience of national economic systems to external shocks, and consistently implement structural reforms within national economies[1]. At the same time, the uneven distribution of resources in the global economy and the sharp differences in the efficiency of their use across countries further increase the relevance of the issue of making effective use of existing economic potential in order to ensure sustainable economic growth.

2. Material and Methods

These circumstances indicate the need to reconsider scientific approaches to regional development and to improve them from the perspective of contemporary global trends, risks, and challenges.

Since the early years of independence to the present day, significant structural transformations have been implemented in the regional economies of our country as a result of regional policy, and a number of programs aimed at the comprehensive development of territories have been adopted. In the process of further deepening ongoing reforms, it is essential that “priority tasks focus not only on the key sectors of the economy, but, above all, on the comprehensive development of regions, ensuring the vital interests of all citizens of our country, and increasing their incomes in the long term.” This situation necessitates ensuring the comprehensive development of regions, the rational utilization of their existing natural resource, production, and labor potential, the optimal allocation of productive forces, and the continuous improvement of living standards and quality of life of the population. It also requires the enhancement of institutional frameworks that enable greater efficiency in governance to achieve these objectives.

At the new stage of reforms in Uzbekistan, particular emphasis is being placed on “ensuring public welfare through sustainable economic growth,” as well as on the objectives of “increasing the size of the economy by 2030 and achieving the status of an upper-middle-income country.” However, despite the fact that a number of positive outcomes have been achieved over the past five years as a result of ongoing reforms, ensuring sustainable growth rates remains one of the most pressing challenges.

This is largely due to the existence of varying degrees of disparities among regions in terms of the effective utilization of their available potential. Therefore, the establishment of targets such as “increasing gross domestic product to 240 billion US dollars and per capita income to 5.8 thousand US dollars by 2030, as well as expanding the volume of technologically advanced industrial production by 2.5 times” has made the issue of effectively utilizing existing economic potential at its full capacity one of the most urgent challenges at the present stage.

In the context of globalization, the concept of sustainable development has become a new paradigm of social development. It serves as a strategic framework for determining the direction of economic, social, and environmental transformation. Its continuing relevance in scholarly debate confirms that the study of its theoretical foundations remains necessary for producing sound practical conclusions and policy recommendations. Accordingly, the evolution of scientific approaches to sustainable development remains a highly relevant subject of research.

Literature Review

The concept of sustainable regional development has long attracted the attention of both domestic and foreign scholars. This sustained interest is explained by the complexity of the concept itself, the multidimensional nature of its content, and the diversity of approaches used to explain its emergence and evolution. As a result, the academic literature contains a wide range of interpretations concerning the stages, criteria, and internal logic of the formation of the sustainable development paradigm [2], [3].

T. V. Alferova argues that the evolution of the concept of sustainable development should be studied through periodization, taking into account philosophical, general scientific, and paradigm-based foundations. In her view, the chronology of key events and conceptual shifts makes it possible to identify the boundaries and content of successive stages in the development of the concept. This approach is important because it emphasizes that sustainable development is not merely a policy slogan, but a category that emerged through a long process of intellectual and institutional formation [4].

Another foreign scholar, Simon Dresner, emphasizes that sustainable regional development is grounded in comprehensive and interdisciplinary data, and therefore requires in-depth analysis. He also highlights in his research that sustainable development depends not only on economic indicators but also on a wide range of other factors [5]. He provides an overview of the origins, evolution, and current state of approaches to sustainability, and proposes that these can be periodized, analyzed on that basis, and subjected to critical evaluation. Among foreign scholars, Kirk Hamilton [6] notes that, despite the wide variety of approaches proposed by economists to sustainable development, it is possible to derive indicators based on relatively simple formulations that may generate a broad set of phenomena. He emphasizes that sustainability can only be achieved if the level of development contributing to overall societal welfare does not decline. Economists M. A. Toman and J. Pezzey, in relation to the primary objective of sustainable development, argue that individuals or households strive to maximize their welfare; however, this may represent only a temporary accumulation of benefits or utility over time. They further suggest that individuals satisfy their needs at each moment through the consumption of various types of goods and services, including both market and non-market ones, thereby linking these considerations to the concept of sustainable development [7].

The authors L. Shi, L. Han, and others, through the analysis of scientific studies, have examined the current state and trends of research in the field of sustainable regional development. In addition, they have emphasized the importance of managing the sustainable development of small and medium-sized enterprises, environmental protection, sustainable tourism, and sustainability assessment processes as key directions contributing to regional sustainable development [8].

3. Results and Discussion

Currently, the sustainable development concept is increasingly important for the global community. It reflects confidence in the future, allowing activities to be sustained over the long term. The formation and development of the concept emerged due to gradual recognition of economic, social, and environmental problems that significantly affect quality of life. The necessary conditions for shaping sustainable development across three domains include global issues of concern to the international community (Table 1).

The emergence of the concept was associated with the need to revise global priorities and to replace one-sided models of progress with an approach based on balance among economic, social, and environmental objectives.

However, in the context of intensifying political issues, examining the international political dimension of the sustainable development concept and its impact on the three-pillar framework is essential. O.V. Stoletov notes that the main political issue at this stage is the stability of the existing world order. [9] Analysis of global political events over the past twenty years indicates that global development has not followed a unified cooperative path but has involved competition and collaboration among multiple actors. [10] Political tensions are transforming sustainable development into a mechanism for effective and legitimate decision-making that considers the interests of major stakeholders. The feasibility of implementing sustainable development depends on political stability, as resolving global economic, environmental, and social problems requires cooperative

consensus among all countries and peoples. Currently, there is no single and generally accepted definition of sustainable development.

The concept was first formally articulated in the 1987 Brundtland Report by the International Commission on Environment and Development, defining sustainable development as development that meets present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Sustainable development can be seen as a long-term development model achieved through joint participation of society, business, and government, ensuring social and environmental responsibility at macro and micro levels. Its foundation relies on a three-dimensional concept aimed at balancing economic, social, and environmental sectors through effective cooperation with key stakeholders.

Various approaches have been proposed to identify stages of sustainable development evolution (Table 2). Authors differ significantly in the number of stages, content, and start and end periods.

One of the notable differences lies in identifying the starting point for examining the evolution of the sustainable development concept. Many authors argue that the retrospective analysis should begin from the mid-twentieth century. However, a number of scholars also consider earlier periods in the formation process and distinguish four main stages in the evolution of the sustainable development concept.

Since 1839, that is, from the date when the convention was adopted, it has been regarded as the first experience of international regulation of natural resource use. In defining the stages, the authors place particular emphasis on major political events, especially the Second World War and the post-war reconstruction period. L. Shi, L. Han, and others identify this period as the first stage, lasting until 1972. They also acknowledge that although the term "sustainable development" was introduced in the twentieth century, analogous concepts had been used for several centuries prior. [11] According to the authors, the term "sustainable development" was first used in 1713 in the monograph "Economy and Forestry" by GK Karlowitz, which focused on the problems of forestry and its economy. Foreign scientist T. Clarin identifies three stages in the development of the concept of sustainable development, the first of which he connects to the period before 1972. [12] The author associates the first stage with the scientific works of prominent representatives of classical economics, such as A. Smith, K. Marx, T. Malthus, D. Ricardo, and J.S. Mill. Based on the analysis of various scholarly approaches to the evolution of the sustainable development concept, as well as the study of key events in its formation, the author proposes an original periodization consisting of five stages.

The emergence of the sustainable development concept is often considered to have begun in the 1960s–1970s and is directly linked to the activities of the Club of Rome. However, according to the author, the evolution of the sustainable development concept should be examined from earlier periods, particularly from the stage of the emergence of philosophical ideas. Questions regarding how the evolution of human civilization affects the environment and natural resources have long been of concern to humanity. In 1798, one of the leading representatives of classical economics, T. Malthus, published his work "An Essay on the Principle of Population", in which he concluded that population grows in a geometric progression, whereas land resources are limited, and food production increases only in an arithmetic progression. [13] The scientific foundations of sustainable development have undergone a long evolutionary path. Some economists emphasize that since humans are part of nature, they must take into account the laws of the surrounding world, adhere to the laws of nature, and refrain from attempting to alter them to suit their own purposes. Thus, through the study of the transition from the biosphere to the noosphere, scholars have established a scientific basis for the hypothesis of sustainable development as a balanced interaction between society and nature. During this period, numerous publications and scientific works devoted to environmental issues were actively produced. The main concerns of the global community included population growth, food

security, the negative environmental impact of anthropogenic factors, and the depletion of natural resources.

At the international level, the relationship between economic activity and environmental conditions was first discussed in 1972 at the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment. The “Stockholm Declaration” on environmental protection principles was adopted. The Stockholm Conference placed particular emphasis on environmental issues and initiated international discussions on the sustainable development concept. Its key outcome was the recognition of the need to consider the interconnection between socio-economic development and evolutionary processes in nature.

“Sustainable Development” is defined as development that “meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.” Thus, by the early 1990s, the concept of balanced sustainable development—encompassing economic, environmental, and social dimensions—had taken shape and acquired its distinctive features. The fourth stage in the evolution of the sustainable development concept (1992–2015) is characterized as a period of large-scale institutional transformations. In 1992, at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro, the principles of sustainable development were formally established for the first time. As a result of the conference:

The Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, containing 27 principles necessary for achieving sustainable development, was adopted;

Agenda 21 was approved as a comprehensive action plan for achieving sustainable development, calling on countries to adopt national strategies;

Establishing a commission on sustainable development.

The main feature of this stage was the formal institutionalization of sustainable development principles and the development of a concrete action plan (Agenda 21).

Recent developments have marked the beginning of a new, contemporary stage in the evolution of the sustainable development concept, which can be described as the stage of “corporate sustainability.” Companies are increasingly adopting a responsible approach to their activities, adhering to principles that protect economic, environmental, and social interests for future generations. The application of sustainable development principles enables organizations to achieve and maintain leading positions.

All operational, financial, and investment decisions within an organization should be made by taking into account both financial and non-financial factors, as well as their impact on the company and society as a whole. Only under such conditions can long-term sustainability be achieved. The sustainable development concept represents a strategic framework for organizational growth that promotes innovation in the production of goods and services while addressing economic, environmental, and social responsibilities.

The following advantages can be identified in developing and implementing a sustainable regional development strategy:

1. Availability of financing sources and new market opportunities. Transparency of information is a key factor in increasing the investment attractiveness of a region. Investors tend to cooperate with socially responsible businesses that operate in an open and transparent manner.

2. Improvement of innovation efficiency. Continuous analysis of business processes, active engagement with stakeholders, and assessment of internal and external environmental factors help identify new sources of growth and activate mechanisms for innovative development, thereby increasing overall efficiency.

3. Risk management. In preparing sustainability reports, significant attention is given to identifying and assessing risks. Companies undertake comprehensive risk analysis and forecasting, which enhances the overall quality of risk management.

4. Formation of regional reputation and consumer trust. Adherence to sustainable development principles ensures the operation of reliable and transparent companies within the region.

5. Enhancement of regional economic potential. Compliance with sustainable development principles benefits not only external stakeholders but also internal ones. Key factors include the efficiency of productive forces, the availability of natural resources, and the size of the working-age population.

At present, the scale of investments attracted to operating enterprises and the assessment of a region's investment attractiveness play a crucial role among the factors of regional sustainability. In recent years, particular attention has been paid to the relationship between a region's investment attractiveness, its economic potential, and its internal capacities [14], [15].

4. Conclusion

The evolution of scientific perspectives on sustainable regional development can be summarized as follows:

1. There is no single approach within the scientific community; initial perspectives combine philosophical and economic approaches, with evolution assessed through stages and criteria.

2. Early stages prioritized environmental issues; later, with the consolidation of the three-pillar approach, environmental issues were addressed alongside socio-economic problems. Political and economic security issues have gained importance in recent years.

3. The concept evolved from macro- to micro-level implementation, with initial models addressing global ecological and resource challenges. The integration of sustainable development principles into business practices demonstrates a shift from macro concepts to operational, enterprise-level applications.

4. Sustainable development is increasingly popular, with regional development linked to economic potential, internal capacities, reputation, and investment attractiveness.

REFERENCES

- [1] International Monetary Fund, "World economic outlook update: Global economy remains resilient amid diverging factors," Jan. 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.imf.org/ru/publications/weo/issues/2026/01/19/world-economic-outlook-update-january-2026>
- [2] Sh. M. Mirziyoyev, "O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti lavozimiga kirishish tantanali marosimiga bag'ishlangan Oliy Majlis palatalarining qo'shma majlisidagi nutqi," Xalq so'zi, no. 247 (6682), Dec. 15, 2016.
- [3] Sh. M. Mirziyoyev, "O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Shavkat Mirziyoyevning Oliy Majlis va O'zbekiston xalqiga Murojaatnomasi," Dec. 26, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://president.uz/uz/lists/view/8834>; <https://lex.uz/docs/8050769>
- [4] T. V. Alferova, "Formation of the concept of sustainable development: Regional aspect," Vestnik PNIPU. Social and Economic Sciences, no. 1, pp. 252–263, 2021.
- [5] S. Dresner, The Principles of Sustainability. London, UK: Earthscan, 2008.
- [6] S. Dresner, "The four-capital method of sustainable development evaluation," European Environment, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 63–80, 2008.
- [7] K. Hamilton, "Genuine saving as a sustainability indicator," 2007. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781782544708.00034>

- [8] M. Toman and J. Pezzey, "Sustainability and its economic interpretations," in *Scarcity and Growth Revisited*, R. D. Simpson, M. A. Toman, and R. U. Ayres, Eds. Washington, DC, USA: Resources for the Future Press, 2019, pp. 121–141.
- [9] L. Shi, L. Han, F. Yang, and L. Gao, "The evolution of sustainable development theory: Types, goals, and research prospects," *Sustainability*, vol. 11, 2019.
- [10] T. Klarin, "The concept of sustainable development: From its beginning to contemporary issues," *Zagreb International Review of Economics & Business*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 67–94, 2018.
- [11] O. V. Stoletov, "International political aspects of the concept of sustainable development," *Political Science*, no. 4, pp. 195–213, 2012.
- [12] D. P. Bac, "A history of the concept of sustainable development: Literature review," *Annals of the University of Oradea, Economic Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 576–580, 2008.
- [13] O. Astanakulov and X. Asatullayev, "O'zbekistonda investitsiyalarning iqtisodiy o'sish va aholi turmush farovonligiga ta'siri tahlili," *Iqtisodiyot va innovatsion texnologiyalar*, no. 6, 2022.
- [14] O. Astanakulov and X. Asatullayev, "Analysis of investments and directions of investment activation in Uzbekistan," *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, vol. 8, no. 6, 2018.
- [15] United Nations, *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. New York, NY, USA: United Nations, 2015.