



Article

Inflation and Living Standards: Real Income Erosion and Household Welfare Disparities

B. Beknazarov*¹

1. Teacher of the Department of "Green Economy and Sustainable Business" of the TDIUSF.

* Correspondence: bekzodabdusalomov979@gmail.com

Citation: Beknazarov B. Inflation and Living Standards: Real Income Erosion and Household Welfare Disparities. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2026, 9(1), 304-308.

Received: 14th Oct 2025

Revised: 21th Nov 2025

Accepted: 05th Dec 2025

Published: 17th Jan 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Abstract: Inflation is a chronic macroeconomic problem having direct effects on the welfare of households and socioeconomic stability. Increasing prices, especially of basic goods and services, undermine the purchasing power and, more so, impact low and middle-income households disproportionately, and hence inflation is an essential concern beyond aggregate price stability. As much as the extant literature fully discusses the relationship between inflation and economic growth and monetary policy, less focus has been made on how inflation is reflected on the household level and how it is converted into perceived changes in living standards. This paper fills this gap by exploring the difference between the official inflation indexes and inflation experienced by households with the help of the key consumption items of food, energy, transport, and housing. There is a mixed-method research design, which combines macroeconomic information of inflation, consumer prices, and real income dynamics and comparative analysis of household expenditure patterns by income category. The descriptive and comparative approach is applied to official statistical data of the national statistical agencies and central bank reports to identify the patterns of distribution and welfare. The results indicate that inflation in the prices of the fundamental commodities exceeds the headline inflation and results in a greater decrease in real incomes than indicated by the aggregate measures. Consequently, consumers shift their spending toward the consumption of necessities at the expense of spending on education and healthcare, as well as savings. These impacts are most dominant in the low and middle income groups and these show that inflation is a retrogressive phenomenon in the weak indexation of income. The paper concludes by finding that monetary policy is not adequate to cushion living standards when inflationary pressures are sustained. The policy response needed to be effective and should be monetary, fiscal and social protection, timely adjustment of incomes and specific support of vulnerable households.

Keywords: Inflation, Living Standards, Real Income, Purchasing Power, Essential Goods, Perceived Inflation, Household Welfare.

1. Introduction

Inflation, as the continued rise in the average price of goods and services, is a macroeconomic phenomenon of central interest in economic stability and social welfare. Constant inflation undermines the purchasing power, transforms the consumption pattern, and directly affects the standards of living, especially in developing and transition economies. Recent years have seen a sharp rise in inflationary pressures on the global supply, expansionary monetary policy, and geopolitical shocks, so the study of inflation's socioeconomic effects is becoming highly topical on a global scale [1].

Theoretical thinking on the causes of inflation in classical and Keynesian economic models suggests that inflation is caused by demand-supply imbalance, cost-push factors,

and monetary expansion. Monetarist thought puts more stress on the excessive growth of the money supply, whereas structuralist schools of thought put more stress on institutional and sectoral inflexibility. The combined effects of these theories all indicate that there exists a close association between inflation and real income dynamics, so that when prices rise, the real wages are decreased, and the low-income and middle-income households are disproportionately impacted. Therefore, inflation is not a monetary problem only, but a distributional and social one [2].

Existing empirical research has widely studied the effect of inflation on economic growth and macroeconomic stability, but limited works have been made to examine the differentiated effects of the effects to households and their perceived standards of living. Available studies usually use aggregate measures of inflation, ignoring the difference between the official rates of inflation and inflation faced by households. The given gap restricts the knowledge of how inflation spreads to everyday life using such basic goods as food, energy, and housing, and particularly in emerging economies [3].

To fill this gap, the current study utilizes both the macroeconomic variables and household-level variables of purchasing power and real income to derive macro-based outcomes of purchasing power data and household-level data. Trends of inflation and income are determined by using quantitative techniques, and a comparative analysis is used to identify vulnerable social groups. This methodological framework enables a more thorough assessment of the actual effects of the inflation as opposed to the headline statistics [4].

It is predicted in the study that sustained inflation will greatly lower real living standards and increase income inequality, especially in the areas where income indexation mechanisms are not strong. The results will be used to add policy debate, as it would be important to discuss the need to have a combination of monetary, fiscal, and social protection. Finally, the findings can have a practical implication on the way inflation-sensitive policies can be designed to protect the welfare of households and enhance inclusive economic stability [5].

2. Materials and Methods

This research paper follows a mixed-method research design to analyse the effects of inflation on the living standard of the people in the most comprehensive way, using both a quantitative macroeconomic perspective and qualitative measures of welfare related to the population. The empirical study relies upon official statistical evidence on the rate of inflation, consumer price indices, real income dynamics, and expenditure structures as acquired in the reports of national statistical bodies and central bank reports over a multi-year timeframe. These are indicators that are employed to gauge the inflation rates and determine the purchasing power of various income groups. In a bid to measure the actual socioeconomic impacts of inflation other than headline indices, the study adopts the notion of the perceived inflation, which compares the official data of inflation with fluctuations in prices of basic products like food, energy, transport, and housing, which make a considerable proportion of household consumption [6].

The methodological design is descriptive and comparative statistical analysis to determine the pattern of inflation and its connection with real wages, pensions, and household consumption spending. Cross-country comparisons have been used selectively to put national trends into a larger international context and to point out policy-relevant differences. Moreover, there is a review of policy documents and the past empirical research to assess the effectiveness of the current monetary and fiscal policies, as well as social protection, which are carried out to alleviate the disastrous effects of inflation [7].

The distributional consequences are measured by paying analytical focus to vulnerable social groups, especially low- and middle-income households. This combined

methodological system allows viewing the economic and social aspects of inflation holistically and making evidence-based predictions about how this should be reflected in the policy in order to safeguard living standards with continued inflationary pressures [8].

3. Results and Discussion

The study findings suggest that inflation has been asymmetrical and largely negative in regard to the living standards, where real incomes have decreased at a faster rate in low and middle-income households than it has been reflected by the official measures of inflation. Empirical evidence indicates that headline inflation rates have their oscillation patterns at moderate levels, whereas the prices of basic commodities, especially food, energy, and housing, have risen at a much higher rate. This deviation proves the existence of a disparity between the official inflation and perceived inflation, which results in a more severe loss of buying power than the macro-level statistics would suggest [9].

Consequently, the domestic consumption habits have been skewed towards the consumption of fundamental needs, whereas much of the money spent on education, the health sector, and long-term savings has been limited [10].

Theoretically, the results are consistent with structural and Keynesian explanations of inflation, which focus on cost-push elements, sector rigidities, and unequal adjustment of income. The monetarist explanations, which are only based on a rise in money supply, are not enough to explain the welfare results that are widely distributed in terms of inflationary pressures that are not equally distributed across sectors and social classes [11].

The findings also bring out the significance of the distributional theory of inflation that inflation is not only an imbalance in the macroeconomy but also a redistributive process that takes away income gains against fixed-income earners. This substantiates the claim that the inflation analysis should not be confined to the aggregate price stability, but it should be welfare based in nature [12].

Reflectively, the study findings indicate shortcomings in current policy responses in practice. Even though the tightening of the monetary policy helps to stabilize inflation, the net effect is a reduction in real incomes, which, however, cannot be completely compensated by other means unless there are extensive indexation and targeted social protection programs. In the analysis, it is argued that prompt wage readjustments and subsidies that are not well targeted lead to lower effectiveness of anti-inflation policies in protecting the living standards. Therefore, those measures to control inflation that are not supported by fiscal and social tools, are likely to increase inequality and social vulnerability [13].

The study has a number of knowledge gaps in spite of the contributions it makes. First, the constraint of micro-level household data hinders the process of capturing heterogeneity in the consumption behavior within regions and demographic groups. Second, the long-term behavioral impact of endemic inflation like the low investment in human capital and loss of confidence in financial institutions, has not been fully investigated. Third, the relationship between household decision-making and inflation expectations is an area that should be studied further empirically [14].

Subsequent studies should then be oriented towards longitudinal household surveys to gain a better understanding of the cumulative welfare impact of inflations and understanding the impact of inflation expectations in influencing consumption and saving behavior. Also, behavioral economics methods might be employed in future research to examine the perception of inflation and the psychological aspects of inflation. Comparative policy research findings on the effectiveness of income indexation, targeted subsidies, and financial literacy programs would be welcome as far as policy research is concerned. In general, there is a pressing need to conduct more theoretical and practical studies to come

up with inclusive inflation management policies that would strike a balance between the aims of social welfare and macroeconomic stability [15].

4. Conclusion

The analysis has shown that inflation has a significant and disproportionate bearing on the standards of living, and its effects are also not limited to the aggregate price indicators; the impact of inflation is far-reaching, as it has been shown to adversely affect real incomes and purchasing power, especially by low and middle-income households. The results reveal a definite discrepancy between the government-reported inflation rates and inflation faced by households, which is because of the accelerated increase in the prices of basic goods and services. The gap here justifies the ineffectiveness of using headline inflation alone in order to evaluate welfare outcomes and the conclusion that inflation is more of a macroeconomic phenomenon than merely a distributional and social issue. These findings suggest that traditional monetary policy instruments are needed to stabilize price levels, but alone they are not enough to cushion living standards unless accompanied by the fiscal and social measures of increasing income indexation, special subsidies, and enhanced social protection systems. On the policy side, the paper underlines that a combined strategy in the management of inflation should exist to put macroeconomic stability and welfare goals together. Simultaneously, the study indicates the analytical weaknesses of data granularity and perceived inflation measurements that indicate significant potential directions of research. In future studies, micro-level data and longitudinal information should be given priority to include the heterogeneous household responses, investigate the influence of inflation expectation and behavioral aspects, and make comparative findings of the policy effectiveness in different countries. These would help build a better picture of the long-term socioeconomic effects of inflation and address the creation of more inclusive and sustainable economic solutions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. R. M. Salah, "The impact of inflation on purchasing power and living standards," 2025.
- [2] S. Mwale and M. Kabubi, "Analyzing inflation and its effects on household economic situation: A case study of Mitengo Ndola," *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 4281–4293, 2025.
- [3] A. Prati, "The well-being cost of inflation inequalities," *Review of Income and Wealth*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 213–238, 2024.
- [4] M. Aprea and M. Raitano, "Income inequality in times of high inflation in Europe," *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, vol. 73, pp. 449–459, 2025.
- [5] X. Jaravel, "Inflation inequality: Measurement, causes, and policy implications," *Annual Review of Economics*, vol. 13, pp. 599–629, 2021.
- [6] M. Simionescu, "Inflation and income/wealth inequality in the European Union," *Portuguese Economic Journal*, pp. 1–40, 2025.
- [7] O. Causa, E. Soldani, N. Luu, and C. Soriolo, "A cost-of-living squeeze? Distributional implications of rising inflation," 2022.
- [8] P. I. Ogbebor, J. A. Oguntodu, and P. O. Oyinloye, "Inflation and standard of living in Nigeria," *Developing Country Studies*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 53–60, 2020.
- [9] C. E. Weller and E. Karakilic, "Wealth inequality, household debt, and macroeconomic instability," in *A Modern Guide to Post-Keynesian Institutional Economics*, Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2022, pp. 121–143.
- [10] A. H. Paddu, S. Fattah, and A. I. Anwar, "Impact of inflation toward economic growth and income distribution," in *Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Accounting, Management, and Economics (ICAME 2023)*, Atlantis Press, May 2024, pp. 109–120.
- [11] J. Caisl, L. Hermida, H. Levy, and B. Menyhért, "The uneven impact of high inflation," 2023.

-
- [12] T. van Treeck and S. Storn, *Income inequality as a cause of the Great Recession? A survey of current debates*. Geneva, Switzerland: International Labour Organization, 2012.
 - [13] S. Stantcheva, "Why do we dislike inflation?" *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, vol. 2004, no. 1, pp. 1–46, 2004.
 - [14] I. Mokeddem, "The impact of inflation on household purchasing power in Europe (2020–2025)," 2025.
 - [15] N. Tremblay, "The impact of inflation on household consumption: An econometric analysis from emerging markets," *OTS Canadian Journal*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 20–30, 2025.