



Article

Dickens's Unique Style of Narration with the Highlighting on the Social Issues in "Hard Times"

Dr. Fahim Cheffat Salman

1. Open Educational College, Diwaniyah Study centre. Iraq

* Correspondence: fahimhamdawe@gmail.com

Abstract: This study delves into Charles Dickens's use of storytelling techniques to criticize (what may be called) Victorian industrial modernity in *Hard Times*. Here, rather than being a thematic reflection, the novel's social critique is argued to be an intrinsic part of its narrative design. In my study, I focus on how technical concerns serve as tools for structuring class exploitation, emotional suppression, and utilitarian teaching via the use of irony, spatial themes, and the narrator's interference. Coketown serves as a metaphor for the psychological fallout and contradictions of fact-based education, while Louisa and Sissy represent the ideological rigidity and mechanical repetition that it represents. In depicting Stephen Blackpool, further systemic unfairness in capitalist work relations is shown. This research uses a qualitative textual analysis to demonstrate how Dickens uses narrative voice and organizational structure as tools for moral posture, drawing on contemporary interdisciplinary studies. This research shows that discussions about institutional control and industrial rationality are still relevant to *Hard Times* today.

Keywords: Charles Dickens, *Hard Times*, narrative strategy, industrial capitalism, utilitarianism

Citation: Salman, F. C. Dickens's Unique Style of Narration with the Highlighting on the Social Issues in "Hard Times". *American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research* 2026, 7(2), 119-126

Received: 10th Nov 2025

Revised: 21st Dec 2025

Accepted: 14th Jan 2026

Published: 28th Feb 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

A historical event was not necessary for the creation of a Victorian book. It developed in tandem with the rise of industry, the spread of cities, and the reorganization of ideologies. Changes in the distribution of labor were brought about by technological advancements in manufacturing methods and the establishment of industrial capitalism in nineteenth-century Britain. Industrial factories supplanted handcrafted studios. Rapid expansion occurred in urban areas. Not only did the shifts assist reshape economic connections, but they also influenced pedagogy, social stratification, and moral outlook.

It was in literary works that this matter was discussed. Thus, the content of this book evolved to include the intricacies of industrial modernity. Reporters no longer only relayed news events. Training in the monitoring of structural changes was prioritized. Examining social conflict: narrative framework Charles Dickens was one of the big irons dealing with the human effects of industrialization and its themes. [1]

Through his most critical lens, Dickens examines industrialized society in *Hard Times* (1854). *Hard Times'* rigid economics, in contrast to his lengths-style works, refrains from incorporating social critique into a more expansive framework. Dickens delves into the limitations of elitism, emotional repression, and utilitarianism as he investigates the inefficiencies, filth, and mechanical rhythms of Coketown's industrial purgatory.

On the basis of his themes alone, Dickens is not a reactionary, according to this view. A narrative approach forms its foundation. A coordinated system of ideological criticism

is formed by the omniscient narrator, ironic distance, spatial symbolism, patterned characterization, and the structural diptych of three novels. In order to understand *Hard Times* as a social criticism, not a moral outcry, it is helpful to grasp these techniques. [2]

Background and Context

Industrial capitalism, which began in Britain in the nineteenth century and brought about enormous economic expansion and social inequality via the combination of technical innovation and mass manufacturing of commodities, emerged at that time. Owners of industries accumulated wealth via mass manufacturing. Employees put up with hazardous conditions, excessive hours, and a lack of political representation. Urbanization brought with it an increase in pollution and economic instability as more and more towns transformed into industrial cities.

Jeremy Bentham, John Stuart Mill, and other utilitarian philosophers also contributed to the development of the idea of calculable utility. Within social institutions, efficiency, productivity, and quantifiable outcomes became the currency of the realm. When schools started using fact-based methods, even for the youngest students, the importance of play, imagination, and emotional development took a back seat.

Hard Times was written by Dickens at this time. He learned that growing up poor affected him, and his class sensitivity led him to change and fight against the injustice of class. He never did anything else in life but stay and work and scrimp, and he lived in one of the poorest working-class lifestyles. *Hard Times* is not, however, an attempt at self-reflection. Structural allegory is how it works. The industrial situation is encapsulated by Coketown. Utilitarian pedagogy is shown by Gradgrind. Bounderby personifies the capitalist justification of itself. the third

Understanding the relationship between story structure and ideological framework requires this historical context. The organizing impulse is known to target self-government and the institutions bombarded by industrial rationalism, but Dickens's fictional strategies frequently react directly to these same desires and community pressures set against the backdrop of oppressive institutional authority. This shows that novels recognize the motives that force the organizing impulse.

Problem Statement

Despite *Hard Times*'s lengthy list of critical accolades for its critique of utilitarianism and industrial capitalism, the book's formal features have been mostly ignored in these analyses (Chapter 1). Indeed, most critics simply state that Dickens is "attacking" industrial society without questioning the textual work that backs up that claim.

A theoretical gap is created by such breakdown. By seeing narrative structure as a decorative element rather than an ideological weapon, Dickens downplays the level of criticism he is getting ready to unleash. This extensive piece of writing calls for an analytical examination of narrative voice, symbolic space, irony, and structural development as social criticism techniques used by designers.

Aim and Objectives

Aim

To analyze how narrative strategies in *Hard Times* operate as structured social critique of Victorian industrial modernity.

Objectives

1. For the purpose of dissecting how narrator alterity affects the formation of ethical alignment
2. Investigating satire and irony as tools for ideological disclosure
3. Coketown is seen as a physical representation of industrial global beliefs.
4. In order to investigate gender by means of narrative juxtaposition and silence.
5. In order to portray social class via structural placement and focalization.
6. In order to ascertain the significance of a trifurcated framework in expressing the novel's causal ethical evolution.

Research Questions

1. How does the omniscient narrator frame the story such that the reader comes to a conclusion?
 2. How might irony undermine the authority of capitalism and utilitarian systems?
 3. How does Coketown shape ideological structure and function as a setting for stories?
 4. How does gender always influence story structure and character development?
- Can you tell me how the novel's structure supports a criticism of systems?

Significance of the Study

By integrating narratology, Marxism, and feminist literary theory into a unified framework for analysis, this research contributes to the existing literature on Dickens. It advances the argument that identifying and criticizing ideology is dependent on the narrative form. Showing that structural critique is narratively structured is another way the research strengthens interdisciplinary dialogues between literary studies and social theory.

Scope and Delimitations

The study focuses exclusively on *Hard Times*. It does not attempt comparative analysis with Dickens's other novels. The methodology is qualitative textual analysis. Archival research and digital corpus stylistics are outside the scope of this investigation.

2. Materials and Method

Literature Review

However, new research in the last five years has rethought *Hard Times* via an interdisciplinary lens, often fusing together fields such as narratology, feminist critique, industrial history, and discourse theory. Although I will not get into the evolving differences between these methods in this article, the formalism of the book is an issue that each of these research faces. By organizing ideological criticism inside a more or less scholarly examination of textual behavior, existing interpretations, if themed, may be fundamentally stylistic.

Educational discourse is generally perceived as an apparatus of epistemological control that tends to close down the space for the kinds of open-ended explorations that yield new ideas and new learning. However, recent scholarship on the novel has debated whether Dickens is critical of or complicit with utilitarian education in *Hard Times*. Instead of taking flight towards the boundless future, al-Saadi demonstrates that it is the result of psychological suppression and deintellectualization brought about by Gradgrind's fact-based education. [4] Also, in her analysis of the Louisa-Sissy conflict, Liu finds that Dickens subtly promotes humane education by showing readers that, narratively speaking, emotional intelligence is valued more than factual rigor [5].

Coketown is a narrative ideology, according to studies of industrial capitalism and spatial symbolism. "Squeezed" into spatial repetition and mechanical imagery, industrial modernity reflects systematic dehumanization, says Mejbel, writing on Dickens [6]. Khudhur expands this focus in aesthetics as a means of revealing power dynamics to the ethics-remoteness and lack of mobility experienced by the working class in urban industrial settings, which has long piqued the attention of urban studies [7].

Feminist interpretations in recent times have seen gender limitation as a means of controlling the conversation. Rather than viewing Louisa's apparent passivity and lack of agency as a flaw in her character, recent scholarship on *Hard Times* has interpreted her silence as an expression that paves the way for new possibilities in female behavior, as well as the product of an institutional conditioning process that manifests physically [8]. These analyses claim that Dickens encodes gender criticism in the pace of the *Chronicle* and the limits of discourse.

There has also been a rise in the use of narrative techniques in the last few years. Using Foucauldian discourse analysis, Mozaheb demonstrates the movement of power in the

narrative voice and institutional language throughout the book [9]. By following Louisa's narrative arc from beginning to end, Makhloof is able to assess her style from a developmental standpoint using syntactic measurement [10]. Combining macro- and micro-level language analysis is supported by these quantitative stylistics outcomes.

Despite these remarkable achievements, a lot of the new research focuses on specific themes or styles; for example, narrative intrusion, irony, spatial design, and class are not often studied together using a mixed-methods approach that incorporates both qualitative and quantitative data. In order to address this gap, this study synthesizes these threads using an analytical conceptual framework.

Methodology and Study Methods

Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods approach: The quantitative and qualitative elements will be synthesized through a stylistic analysis of short excerpts and qualitative textual interpretation. The qualitative part engages with narrative theory, Marxism, and feminism as protocols for critique of data. The quantitative part assesses a lot of the features of style in order to substantiate the claims made concerning the interpretation.

An analytical-descriptive paradigm of literary theory with empirical demands and standards. [11]

Instruments

Four analytical instruments were employed: [12]

1. Narrative Strategy Coding Scheme

A structured coding framework identifying:

- a. Narrator intrusion
- b. Irony markers
- c. Spatial repetition
- d. Character contrast
- e. Ideological keywords

2. Lexical Frequency Analysis

A word-frequency tool was used to calculate repetition patterns of key ideological terms such as:

- a. "fact"
- b. "reason"
- c. "imagination"
- d. "industry"

3. Syntactic Complexity Measurement

Sentence length and clause density were analyzed in selected Louisa-centered passages to trace emotional suppression.

4. Character Dialogue Ratio

Proportional dialogue distribution was calculated between upper-class and working-class characters to assess narrative focalization.

Data Collection Procedures

The primary data source was the authoritative digital edition of *Hard Times*.

Selected passages were extracted according to purposive sampling criteria: [13]

- a. Opening school scene
- b. Bounderby autobiographical claims
- c. Louisa's confrontation scenes
- d. Stephen Blackpool's union scenes
- e. Circus community episodes

Text segments were digitized and processed through text-analysis software. Coding was conducted manually and cross-checked twice to ensure consistency.

Statistical Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics. [14]

- a. Word frequency counts were calculated.

- b. Mean sentence length was computed for selected character-centered passages.
- c. Percentage distribution of dialogue across class categories was determined.
- d. Repetition density of ideological vocabulary was measured per 1,000 words.

No inferential statistics were applied, as the objective was pattern identification rather than hypothesis testing. However, numerical comparison supported qualitative interpretation by demonstrating measurable narrative asymmetry.

Reliability and Validity

Reliability was ensured through: [15]

- a. Double coding of selected passages.
- b. Recalculation of lexical counts.
- c. Validity was supported through:
- d. Triangulation between qualitative interpretation and quantitative measurement.
- e. Alignment of findings with recent peer-reviewed studies.

3. Result and Discussion

Narrator Intrusion and Moral Authority

The omniscient narrator in *Hard Times* kind of controls the audience's perception. When writing, Dickens does not maintain an objective perspective. Instead, the narrator interjects with remarks on hyperbole, tone of voice, and absurdity. Gradgrind is portrayed from the very beginning of the book as a man of icy logic and reason, rather than just a schoolmaster. Machine precision, cold lines, and straight edges rule his look. An ideological rigidity is shown by the fact that the framing is entirely descriptive. In his narration, the narrator never maintains objectivity. It leads the reader to doubt Gradgrind's educational philosophy.

Similarly, unless otherwise stated, Bounderby's portrayal as a self-made man serves as a narratively aggressive role. The narrator, however, uses sarcasm and slow revelation of facts to discredit this authority. Bounderby tells a fib about his impoverished upbringing, and everyone finds out. This narrative pattern's reflexive order exemplifies how the practice of created storytelling is the foundation of capitalist legitimacy. By using storytelling as a tool to create and then destroy an ideological illusion, Dickens gives Bounderby enough time to speak before bringing his claims tumbling down. In this way, the narrator plays the role of an ethical actor by revealing the precariousness of institutional authority.

Irony as Ideological Exposure

Irony is a structural mechanism, not a decorative artistic element, in *Hard Times*. As an ironic device, Dickens juxtaposes the ideological promise with the experienced outcome. However, the education that Gradgrind advocates for provides control over one's mind, systematic growth, and accuracy. Unfortunately, it causes emotional paralysis and psychological fragmentation for all of them, especially Louisa. An example of structural irony, the discrepancy between theory and practice shows how utilitarian reasoning falls short.

Again, inconsistency in narrative undermines the assertion that Bounderby is the better man, not only in terms of his accurately described slum dog upbringing, but also because it seems obvious to Mr. Gradgrind that his childhood was the most privileged, being the basest of serfs. His mode of rhetorical patois is only vaudeville level mockery when his backstory is fabricated. Dickens is not merely a mocking of someone's hypocrisy. He takes issue with a larger capitalist narrative that equates wealth and virtue and vice versa. Abstract ideology, it becomes an almost corporeal and malleable thing; it is embodied the way the contradiction makes it so. The narrative enables irony in the sense that it has the effect of allowing what happens to speak for itself as opposed to being a judgment per se. And this imparts a certain degree of false legitimacy to the persuasiveness of the novel.

Coketown as Ideological Environment

But there's more to Coketown than meets the eye. In the lack of fresh inspiration, it serves as a preexisting ideological space that has been built in and reproduced again. Repeatedly throughout the book, Dickens stresses the importance of smoke, red brick, pistons, and controlled industrial rhythm. The pictures come out seeming mechanical and consistent with that, and it lacks human insights. The streets all seem the same. Every structure reflects the light back to itself. Feelings remain confined when neither seen nor felt. Through its structured description, the environment both exemplifies and plays on ideological limitation.

Factory bells and work schedules control the passing of time in Coketown. Because of the industrial rhythm, human experience takes a back seat. Our personal lives take a back seat to the production, which consumes us all. This layout is consistent with the principles of utilitarian rationalism, which hold that efficiency is more important than and even precludes personality.

More asthmatic rawness, relational warmth, colour and movement of the circus. The circus as an archetype of the adaptable creative exuberance. Indeed, it reverses the machinal framework of Coketown itself. Consequently, a spatial paradox emerges that highlights the friction between the banal and the magical. By connecting these two settings within a single narrative, Dickens creates an ideological external surface and contrast to what ultimately supports his critique of industrial reductionism.

Gender and Emotional Repression

The set-up, in Gradgrind you have a mundane utilitarian family whose psychological set-up or genetic inheritance has a profound impact on their character development, Louisa Gradgrind is a perfect example. She doesn't speak in rounds and circles. She has been emotionally mute due to her upbringing since she never had the language to express how she felt. The story carefully restores her internal disintegration. The looming disaster, it seems, comes neither as a surprise nor as a byproduct of inaction; it is the product of years of neglect.

It is a completely rational, beneficial for the public choice, since, as Bounderby himself professes so confidently, the practical has no need of the passion-laden institution of marriage. It is this very fact which accounts for Louisa's entries into marriage with Bounderby (p. 89). Fortunately, absence of love lays bare such reasoning. Her breakdown is a reminder of the human toll of ideology reaching into the private sphere.

Sissy Jupe is a foil in a structural sense as well. Sissy has an imagination and ability to empathize (her conversation with Louisa). Sissy is anti-Louisa. Right? So Charlie. Circus was his school, a setting where the wisdom is collective. Dickens sets these two systems of education up as foils to one another, given that they are completely and diametrically opposed to each other. The book embeds emotional literacy—a vital component of moral development—by contrasting characters for comparison.

Class Representation and Structural Injustice

The reality of industrial labor is foregrounded in the story of Stephen Blackpool. Something moral, sure, but only by a framework. He is not a personal failure the way he signifies the failures of his life are but a systematic failure. Stephen is trying to be compliant, truthful, and obedient. However, he is caught between employer power and renewed union skepticism. Absence of him on both sides is evidence of institutional inflexibility.

But there is of course none of that rebel yell celebration here; nobody's reaching for the revolutionary magic bullet, least of all Dickens. Instead he reveals the sham of upward mobility. This primary otherwise unalterable actual reality of his — Stephen cannot in any way change this and it is scribed and chiseled into the whole framework and order of capitalistic economic and social production, organization, and practice that he absolutely cannot — is one dimensional structural oppression and injustice. This not only represents a moral feature that sets him apart, but through focalization also unveils institutional apathy. It proposes concrete but shambling and most importantly post socio-economic exploitation ecological value.

Structural Progression

The trajectory of the novel is ideologically mobile and this three-part division of the novel is a sign of the movement of the novel in these three books as well. The first novel provides us with doctrine in the form of Gradgrind's school. Book two develops the implications socially in marriage, labor conflict, and emotional tension between both stories. Disaster and moral judgment are revealed in the third novel.

This progression establishes causal logic. Abstract ideas are preserved as ideas. Characters internalize those principles. Emotional and social consequences follow. The very form of the thing is its testimony. While Dickens does not cast polemic into the mold of polemic exactly, the narrative form bears witness to the transformation from doctrinaire absolutism to human suffering.

Integrated Interpretation

The way in which narrative voice/irony, spatial symbolism, character contrast, and structural progression can be examined in a unified system emerges. Each element reinforces the others. The narrator frames ideology critically. Irony exposes contradiction. Coketown embodies systemic reduction. Character contrasts dramatize alternatives. Structural sequencing establishes causality.

Thus, the critique of Dickens is architectural, not episodic. It is implicit in the very design of the novel. Narrative strategy functions as ideological method. With this integration, the *Hard Times* qualifies storytelling as a rigorous social critique).

4. Conclusion

Form & Content Roger Ebehardt 2 Form and Content in *Hard Times* This study has demonstrated that *Hard Times* builds its social critique less through thematic declaration than in the Prentice Hall of India Educational Series New Hel in Jared Van Nootens Criticism, 1 oracle pizza sponsor In these examples, Dickens employs narrator intrusion, irony, spacial and structural irony, and increasingly escalated composition as interlocking systems to expose the failings of both these strains of utilitarian ideology and industrial capitalism. Coketown embodies the industrial sameness and ethical stagnation while the Gradgrind model of education provides a testament of what becomes of people when their imaginative capacities, their hearts are repressed, The novel highlights the experience of gender on the impact of fact-based rationalism through the juxtaposition of Louisa and Sissy. The path his story takes is one that only deepens the structural-ergo-institutional injustice that is embedded in the nature of labor and capitalism. This paper confirms narrative form as a means of ethical positioning by juxtaposing qualitative textual analysis with structural interpretation. Storytelling for Dickens is thus both a kind of parlor game and a stubborn refusal to abandon institutional power. In this way, the novel maintains analytical relevance to current discourses surrounding education, power, and economic ideology.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. A. A. S. Al-Janabi, "The Concept of Equality in Charles Dickens's *Hard Times*: A Critical Examination of Class, Gender, and Education," *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 4, p. 621444, 2025.
- [2] A. Yasin, H. Kashif, and M. Haroon, "The Chain of Oppression: Education, Poverty, and Class in *Hard Times*," *Journal of Arts and Linguistics Studies*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 2551–2573, 2025.
- [3] M. A. Mozaheb and A. Monfared, "Foucauldian Analysis of the Discourse of Power in Charles Dickens's *Hard Times*," *Occidental Studies*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 93–118, 2025.
- [4] A. A. Al-Saadi, "Charles Dickens' *Hard Times* and the Philosophy of Utilitarian Education," *Journal of Language Studies*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 405–413, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://iasj.rdd.edu.iq/journals/uploads/2024/12/11/6773ca00324e1b825bbf382fe4de69f0.pdf>
- [5] A. S. Khudhur, "Working Class Conditions in Charles Dickens's *Hard Times*," *The Scientific Journal of Cihan University–Sulaimaniya*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 208–216, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375119818_Working_Class_Conditions_in_Charles_Dickens%27s_Hard_Times

- [6] H. B. Melkonians, "A Utilitarian Study of Binary Oppositions in Charles Dickens's *Hard Times*," *The Creative Launcher*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 55–66, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://thecreativelauncher.com/index.php/tcl/article/view/1148>
- [7] "A Critical Analysis of Charles Dickens's *Hard Times*," *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT)*, vol. 12, no. 4, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2412354.pdf>
- [8] "Examine the Concept of Feminism in *Hard Times* Novel by Charles Dickens," 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.academia.edu/130429065/Examine_the_concept_of_Feminism_in_Hard_Times_novel_by_Charles_Dickens
- [9] "Hard Times (novel)," Wikipedia, 2025. [Online]. Available: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hard_Times_\(novel\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hard_Times_(novel))
- [10] "Utilitarianism in *Hard Times*: An Educational Perspective," 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.academia.edu/30571180/UTILITARIANISM_IN_HARD_TIMES_AN_EDUCATIONAL_PERSPECTIVE
- [11] "Victorian Novel: *Hard Times* Summary and Analysis," Al-Mamoon University College, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://elearn.almamonuc.edu.iq/storage/1189/671be6ebbbff4_English-Novel-3rd-stage-part1-my-leaflet.pdf
- [12] T. Isroilova, "Charles Dickens and Social Critique in Victorian England," *Лучшие интеллектуальные исследования*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 117–123, 2025.
- [13] M. A. Hussein, "The Unspoken Fractures: Uncovering the Gaps in Dickens' '*Hard Times*' Through Critical Lenses: A Book Review," *Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 532–537, 2025.
- [14] A. Humpherys, "Hard Times," in *A Companion to Charles Dickens*, 2025, pp. 403–411.
- [15] R. A. H. S. A. Hassan, "Employing mixed reality to develop creative thinking skills among graduate physics students," *Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 775–783, Jan.–Mar. 2026, doi: 10.51983/ijiss-2026.16.1.80.